

# Generalized uncertainty principle, quantum gravity and Hořava-Lifshitz gravity

Yun Soo Myung <sup>1</sup>

*Institute of Basic Science and School of Computer Aided Science  
Inje University, Gimhae 621-749, Korea*

## Abstract

We investigate a close connection between generalized uncertainty principle (GUP) and deformed Hořava-Lifshitz (HL) gravity. The GUP commutation relations correspond to the UV-quantum theory, while the canonical commutation relations represent the IR-quantum theory. Inspired by this UV/IR quantum mechanics, we obtain the GUP-corrected graviton propagator by introducing UV-momentum  $p_i = p_{0i}(1 + \beta p_0^2)$  and compare this with tensor propagators in the HL gravity. Two are the same up to  $p_0^4$ -order.

---

<sup>1</sup>e-mail address: [ysmyung@inje.ac.kr](mailto:ysmyung@inje.ac.kr)

# 1 Introduction

Recently Hořava has proposed a renormalizable theory of gravity at a Lifshitz point [1], which may be regarded as a UV complete candidate for general relativity. At short distances the theory of  $z = 3$  Hořava-Lifshitz (HL) gravity describes interacting nonrelativistic gravitons and is supposed to be power counting renormalizable in (1+3) dimensions. Recently, the HL gravity theory has been intensively investigated in [2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28]. The equations of motion were derived for  $z = 3$  HL gravity [29, 30], and its black hole solution was first found in asymptotically anti-de Sitter spacetimes [30] and black hole in asymptotically flat spacetimes [31].

It seems that the GUP-corrected Schwarzschild black hole is closely related to black holes in the deformed Hořava-Lifshitz gravity [32, 33]. Also, the GUP provides naturally a UV cutoff to the local quantum field theory as quantum gravity effects [34, 35].

On the other hand, one of main ingredients for studying quantum gravity is the GUP, which has been argued from various approaches to quantum gravity and black hole physics [36]. Certain effects of quantum gravity are universal and thus, influence almost any system with a well-defined Hamiltonian [37]. The GUP satisfies the modified Heisenberg algebra [38]

$$[x_i, p_j] = i\hbar(\delta_{ij} + \beta p^2 \delta_{ij} + 2\beta p_i p_j), \quad [x_i, x_j] = [p_i, p_j] = 0 \quad (1)$$

where  $p_i$  is considered as the momentum at high energies and thus, it can be interpreted to be the UV-commutation relations. Here  $p^2 = p_i p_i$ . In this case, the minimal length which follows from these relations is given by

$$\delta x_{\min} = \hbar \sqrt{5\beta}. \quad (2)$$

On the other hand, introducing IR-canonical variable  $p_{0i}$  with  $x_i = x_{0i}$  through the replacement

$$p_i = p_{0i}(1 + \beta p_0^2), \quad (3)$$

these variables satisfy canonical commutation relations

$$[x_{0i}, p_{0j}] = i\hbar\delta_{ij}, \quad [x_{0i}, x_{0j}] = [p_{0i}, p_{0j}] = 0. \quad (4)$$

Here  $p_{0i}$  is considered as the momentum at low energies with  $p_0^2 = p_{0i} p_{0i}$ . It is easy to show that Eq. (1) is satisfied to linear-order  $\beta$  when using Eq. (4). Hence, the replacement (3) could be used as an important low-energy window to investigate quantum gravity phenomenology up to linear-order  $\beta$ .

It was known for deformed HL gravity that the UV-propagator for tensor modes  $t_{ij}$  take a complicated form Eq. (32), including up to  $p_0^6$ -term from the Cotton bilinear term  $C_{ij}C_{ij}$ . We have explored a connection between the GUP commutator and the deformed HL gravity [39]. Explicitly, we have replaced a relativistic cutoff function  $\mathcal{K}(\frac{p^2}{\Lambda^2})$  by a non-relativistic density function  $\mathcal{D}_D(\beta p^2)$  to derive GUP-corrected graviton propagators. These were compared to (32). It was pointed out that two are *qualitatively similar*, but the  $p^5$ -term arisen from the crossed term of Cotton and Ricci tensors did not appear in the GUP-corrected propagators. Also, it was unclear why the  $D = 2$  GUP-corrected tensor propagator (not the  $D = 3$  GUP-corrected propagator) is similar to the UV-propagator derived from the  $z = 3$  HL gravity.

In this work, we investigate a close connection between GUP and deformed HL gravity. At high energies, we assume that the UV-propagator takes the conventional form  $G_{UV}(\varpi, p^2)$  in Eq. (34), whereas at low energies, the IR-propagator takes the conventional form  $G_{IR}(\varpi, p_0^2)$  in Eq. (35). It is very important to understand how the UV-propagator is related to the IR-propagator in the non-relativistic gravity theory. We find a GUP-corrected graviton propagator by applying (3) to  $G_{UV}(\varpi, p^2)$  and compare it with the UV-tensor propagator (32) in the HL gravity. Two are *the same* up to  $p_0^4$ -order, although the  $p_0^5$ -term arisen from a crossed term of Cotton tensor and Ricci tensor is still missed in the GUP-corrected graviton propagator. This indicates that a power-counting renormalizable theory of the HL gravity is closely related to the GUP.

## 2 $z = 3$ HL gravity

Introducing the ADM formalism where the metric is parameterized

$$ds_{ADM}^2 = -N^2 dt^2 + g_{ij} (dx^i - N^i dt) (dx^j - N^j dt), \quad (5)$$

the Einstein-Hilbert action can be expressed as

$$S_{EH} = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \int d^4x \sqrt{g} N \left[ K_{ij} K^{ij} - K^2 + R - 2\Lambda \right], \quad (6)$$

where  $G$  is Newton's constant and extrinsic curvature  $K_{ij}$  takes the form

$$K_{ij} = \frac{1}{2N} (\dot{g}_{ij} - \nabla_i N_j - \nabla_j N_i). \quad (7)$$

Here, a dot denotes a derivative with respect to  $t$ . An action of the non-relativistic renormalizable gravitational theory is given by [1]

$$S_{HL} = \int dt d^3x [\mathcal{L}_K + \mathcal{L}_V], \quad (8)$$

where the kinetic terms are given by

$$\mathcal{L}_K = \frac{2}{\kappa^2} \sqrt{g} N K_{ij} \mathcal{G}^{ijkl} K_{kl} = \frac{2}{\kappa^2} \sqrt{g} N (K_{ij} K^{ij} - \lambda K^2), \quad (9)$$

with the DeWitt metric

$$\mathcal{G}^{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2} (g^{ik} g^{jl} - g^{il} g^{jk}) - \lambda g^{ij} g^{kl} \quad (10)$$

and its inverse metric

$$\mathcal{G}_{ijkl} = \frac{1}{2} (g_{ik} g_{jl} - g_{il} g_{jk}) - \frac{\lambda}{3\lambda - 1} g_{ij} g_{kl}. \quad (11)$$

The potential terms is determined by the detailed balance condition as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_V = -\frac{\kappa^2}{2} \sqrt{g} N E^{ij} \mathcal{G}_{ijkl} E^{kl} &= \sqrt{g} N \left\{ \frac{\kappa^2 \mu^2}{8(1-3\lambda)} \left( \frac{1-4\lambda}{4} R^2 + \Lambda_W R - 3\Lambda_W^2 \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\kappa^2}{2\eta^4} \left( C_{ij} - \frac{\mu\eta^2}{2} R_{ij} \right) \left( C^{ij} - \frac{\mu\eta^2}{2} R^{ij} \right) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Here the  $E$  tensor is defined by

$$E^{ij} = \frac{1}{\eta^2} C^{ij} - \frac{\mu}{2} \left( R^{ij} - \frac{R}{2} g^{ij} + \Lambda_W g^{ij} \right) \quad (13)$$

with the Cotton tensor  $C_{ij}$

$$C^{ij} = \frac{\epsilon^{ik\ell}}{\sqrt{g}} \nabla_k \left( R^j{}_\ell - \frac{1}{4} R \delta_\ell^j \right). \quad (14)$$

Explicitly,  $E_{ij}$  could be derived from the Euclidean topologically massive gravity

$$E^{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \frac{\delta W_{TMG}}{\delta g_{ij}} \quad (15)$$

with

$$W_{TMG} = \frac{1}{\eta^2} \int d^3 x \epsilon^{ikl} \left( \Gamma_{il}^m \partial_j \Gamma_{km}^l + \frac{2}{3} \Gamma_{il}^n \Gamma_{jm}^l \Gamma_{kn}^m \right) - \mu \int d^3 x \sqrt{g} (R - 2\Lambda_W), \quad (16)$$

where  $\epsilon^{ikl}$  is a tensor density with  $\epsilon^{123} = 1$ .

In the IR limit, comparing  $\mathcal{L}_0$  with Eq.(6) of general relativity, the speed of light, Newton's constant and the cosmological constant are given by

$$c = \frac{\kappa^2 \mu}{4} \sqrt{\frac{\Lambda_W}{1-3\lambda}}, \quad G = \frac{\kappa^2}{32\pi c}, \quad \Lambda_{cc} = \frac{3}{2} \Lambda_W. \quad (17)$$

The equations of motion were derived in [29] and [30]. We would like to mention that the IR vacuum of this theory is anti-de Sitter ( $\text{AdS}_4$ ) spacetimes. Hence, it is interesting to

take a limit of the theory, which may lead to a Minkowski vacuum in the IR sector. To this end, one may deform the theory by introducing “ $\mu^4 R$ ” ( $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_V = \mathcal{L}_V + \sqrt{g}N\mu^4 R$ ) and then, take the  $\Lambda_W \rightarrow 0$  limit [31]. We call this the deformed HL gravity without detailed balance condition. This does not alter the UV properties of the theory, while it changes the IR properties. That is, there exists a Minkowski vacuum, instead of an AdS vacuum. In the IR limit, the speed of light and Newton’s constant are given by

$$c^2 = \frac{\kappa^2 \mu^4}{2}, \quad G = \frac{\kappa^2}{32\pi c}, \quad \lambda = 1. \quad (18)$$

The deformed HL gravity has an important parameter [31]

$$\omega = \frac{8\mu^2(3\lambda - 1)}{\kappa^2}, \quad (19)$$

which takes the form for  $\lambda = 1$

$$\omega = \frac{16\mu^2}{\kappa^2}. \quad (20)$$

Actually,  $\frac{1}{2\omega}$  plays the role of a charge in the Kehagias-Sfetsos (KS) black hole with  $\lambda = 1$  and  $K_{ij} = C_{ij} = 0$  [32] derived from the Lagrangian

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_V^{\lambda=1} = \sqrt{g}N\mu^4 \left( R + \frac{3}{4\omega}R^2 - \frac{2}{\omega}R_{ij}R_{ij} \right). \quad (21)$$

and a spherically symmetric metric ansatz. Furthermore, it was shown that the entropy of KS black hole could be explained from the entropy of GUP-corrected Schwarzschild black hole when making a connection of  $\beta \rightarrow \frac{1}{\omega}$  [33].

### 3 GUP-quantum mechanics

A meaningful prediction of various theories of quantum gravity (string theory) and black holes is the presence of a minimum measurable length or a maximum observable momentum. This has provided the generalized uncertainty principle which modifies commutation relations shown by Eq. (1). A universal quantum gravity correction to the Hamiltonian is given by

$$\mathcal{H}_{UV} = \frac{p^2}{2m} + V(x_i) = \frac{p_0^2}{2m} + V(x_{0i}) + \frac{\beta}{m}p_0^4 + \frac{\beta^2}{2m}p_0^6 \quad (22)$$

$$\equiv \mathcal{H}_{IR} + \mathcal{H}_1 \quad (23)$$

with

$$\mathcal{H}_{IR} = \frac{p_0^2}{2m} + V(x_{0i}), \quad \mathcal{H}_1 = \frac{\beta}{m}p_0^4 + \frac{\beta^2}{2m}p_0^6. \quad (24)$$

We note that Eq. (23) may be used for a perturbation study with  $p_0 = -i\hbar d/dx_{0i}$ . We see that any system with a well-defined quantum (or even classical) Hamiltonian  $\mathcal{H}_{IR}$ , is perturbed by  $\mathcal{H}_1$  near the Planck scale. In this sense, the quantum gravity effects are in some sense universal. Some examples were performed in [37, 40, 41, 42]. It turned out that the corrections could be interpreted in two ways when considering linear-order perturbation  $\mathcal{H}_1 = \frac{\beta}{m} p_0^4$ : either that for  $\beta = \beta_0 l_{Pl}^2/2\hbar^2$  with  $\beta_0 \sim 1$ , they are exceedingly small, beyond the reach of current experiments or that they predict upper bounds on the quantum gravity parameter  $\beta_0 \leq 10^{34}$  for the Lamb shift.

### 3.1 Tensor modes for deformed $z = 3$ HL gravity

The field equation for tensor modes propagating on the Minkowski spacetimes is given by [24]

$$\ddot{t}_{ij} - \frac{\mu^4 \kappa^2}{2} \Delta t_{ij} + \frac{\mu^2 \kappa^4}{16} \Delta^2 t_{ij} - \frac{\mu \kappa^4}{4\eta^2} \epsilon_{ilm} \partial^l \Delta^2 t_j{}^m - \frac{\kappa^4}{4\eta^4} \Delta^3 t_{ij} = T_{ij} \quad (25)$$

with external source  $T_{ij}$  and the Laplacian  $\Delta = \partial_i^2 \rightarrow -p_0^2$ . We could not obtain the covariant propagator because of the presence of  $\epsilon$ -term. Assuming a massless graviton propagation along the  $x^3$ -direction with  $p_{0i} = (0, 0, p_3)$ , then the  $t_{ij}$  can be expressed in terms of polarization components as [28]

$$t_{ij} = \begin{pmatrix} t_+ & t_\times & 0 \\ t_\times & -t_+ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (26)$$

Using this parametrization, we find two coupled equations for different polarizations

$$\ddot{t}_+ - \frac{\mu^4 \kappa^2}{2} \Delta t_+ + \frac{\kappa^4 \mu^2}{16} \Delta^2 t_+ + \frac{\kappa^4 \mu}{4\eta^2} \partial_3 \Delta^2 t_\times - \frac{\kappa^4}{4\eta^4} \Delta^3 t_+ = T_+, \quad (27)$$

$$\ddot{t}_\times - \frac{\mu^4 \kappa^2}{2} \Delta t_\times + \frac{\kappa^4 \mu^2}{16} \Delta^2 t_\times - \frac{\kappa^4 \mu}{4\eta^2} \partial_3 \Delta^2 t_+ - \frac{\kappa^4}{4\eta^4} \Delta^3 t_\times = T_\times. \quad (28)$$

In order to find two independent components, we introduce the left-right base defined by

$$t_{L/R} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (t_+ \pm i t_\times) \quad (29)$$

where  $t_L (t_R)$  represent the left (right)-handed modes. After Fourier-transformation, we find two decoupled equations

$$-\varpi^2 t_L + c^2 p_0^2 t_L + \frac{\kappa^4 \mu^2}{16} (p_0^2)^2 t_L - \frac{\kappa^4 \mu}{4\eta^2} p_3 (p_0^2)^2 t_L + \frac{\kappa^4}{4\eta^4} (p_0^2)^3 t_L = T_L, \quad (30)$$

$$-\varpi^2 t_R + c^2 p_0^2 t_R + \frac{\kappa^4 \mu^2}{16} (p_0^2)^2 t_R + \frac{\kappa^4 \mu}{4\eta^2} p_3 (p_0^2)^2 t_R + \frac{\kappa^4}{4\eta^4} (p_0^2)^3 t_R = T_R. \quad (31)$$

We have UV-tensor propagators with  $\omega = 16\mu^2/\kappa^2$

$$t_{L/R} = -\frac{T_{L/R}}{\varpi^2 - c^2 \left( p_0^2 + \frac{2}{\omega} p_0^4 \mp \frac{8}{\eta^2 \mu \omega} p_3 p_0^4 + \frac{128}{\eta^4 \kappa^2 \omega^2} p_0^6 \right)}. \quad (32)$$

We note that the left-handed mode is not allowed because it may give rise to ghost  $(-\frac{8c^2}{\eta^2 \mu \omega} p_3 p_0^4)$ , while the right-handed mode is allowed because there is no ghost  $(\frac{8c^2}{\eta^2 \mu \omega} p_3 p_0^4)$ . At this stage, we mention that  $p_0 (= \sqrt{p_0 i p_0 i})$  is a magnitude of momentum  $p_{0i}$  but not a time component  $\varpi$ .

Finally, we find UV-propagators in the four dimensional frame with  $p^\mu = (\varpi, 0, 0, p_3)$  as

$$t_{L/R} = -\frac{T_{L/R}}{\varpi^2 - c^2 \left( p_3^2 + \frac{2}{\omega} p_3^4 \mp \frac{8}{\eta^2 \mu \omega} p_3^5 + \frac{128}{\eta^4 \kappa^2 \omega^2} p_3^6 \right)}. \quad (33)$$

### 3.2 GUP-corrected propagator

It is known for deformed HL gravity that the UV-propagator for tensor modes  $t_{ij}$  take a complicated form shown in Eq. (32), including up to  $p_0^6$ -term from the Cotton bilinear term  $C_{ij}C_{ij}$ .

At high energies, we assume that the UV-propagator takes the conventional form

$$G_{\text{UV}}(\varpi, p^2) = \frac{1}{\varpi^2 - c^2 p^2}, \quad (34)$$

whereas at low energies, the IR-propagator takes the conventional form

$$G_{\text{IR}}(\varpi, p_0^2) = \frac{1}{\varpi^2 - c^2 p_0^2}. \quad (35)$$

Considering (3), the UV-propagator (34) takes the form

$$G_{\text{UV}}(\varpi, p_0^2) = \frac{1}{\varpi^2 - c^2 \left( p_0^2 + 2\beta p_0^4 + \beta^2 p_0^6 \right)}. \quad (36)$$

The GUP-corrected tensor propagator is determined by

$$t_{ij}^{GUP} = -G_{\text{UV}}(\varpi, p_0^2) T_{ij} = -\frac{T_{ij}}{\varpi^2 - c^2 \left( p_0^2 + 2\beta p_0^4 + \beta^2 p_0^6 \right)}, \quad (37)$$

where scaling dimensions are given by  $[\beta] = -2$ ,  $[\varpi] = 3$ , and  $[c] = 2$  for the  $z = 3$  HL gravity. *This is exactly the same form as the UV-tensor propagator (32) up to  $p_0^4$*  when using the replacement of  $\beta \rightarrow 1/\omega$  which was derived for entropy of the Kehagias-Sfetsos black hole without the Cotton tensor ( $C_{ij} = 0$ ) [33]. However, considering terms beyond  $p_0^4$  ( $p_0^5$  and  $p_0^6$ ), we could not make a definite connection between two propagators even though highest space derivative of sixth order are found in both propagators. Explicitly, the  $p_0^5$ -term is absent for the GUP-corrected propagator and coefficients in the front of  $p_0^6$  are different. Two coefficients are the same for  $\eta^4 = 128/\kappa^2$ .

## 4 Discussions

We have explored a close connection between generalized uncertainty principle (GUP) and deformed Hořava-Lifshitz (HL) gravity. It was proposed that the GUP commutation relations describe the UV-quantum theory, while the canonical commutation relations represent the IR-quantum theory. Inspired by this UV/IR quantum mechanics, we obtain the GUP-corrected graviton propagator by introducing UV-momentum of  $p_i = p_{0i}(1 + \beta p_0^2)$  with  $p_{0i}$  the IR momentum. We compare this with tensor propagators in the HL gravity. Two are the same up to  $p_0^4$ -order, but the  $p_0^5$ -term arises from the crossed term of Cotton and Ricci tensors did not appear in the GUP-corrected propagators.

Importantly, we confirm that the deformed HL gravity with  $\omega$  parameter contains effects of quantum gravity implied by the GUP with the linear-order of  $\beta$  when using a relation of  $\beta = 1/\omega$ . This means that the deformed  $z = 2$  HL gravity without Cotton tensor could be well described by the GUP [2]. This Lagrangian is given by

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{z=2} = \sqrt{g}N \left[ \frac{2}{\kappa^2} \left( K_{ij}K_{ij} - \lambda K^2 \right) + \mu^4 \left( R + \frac{1}{2\omega} \frac{4\lambda - 1}{3\lambda - 1} R^2 - \frac{2}{\omega} R_{ij}R_{ij} \right) \right]. \quad (38)$$

The tensor propagator is derived from the above Lagrangian on the Minkowski background where Ricci-square term  $R^2$  does not contribute to the bilinear term of  $t_{ij}t_{ij}$ . Hence, it is easily shown that  $\frac{2}{\omega}p_0^4$ -term in the tensor propagator (32) comes from  $R_{ij}R_{ij}$ -term. On the other hand, the modified Heisenberg commutation relation (1) is satisfied to linear-order  $\beta$  when calculating the GUP-corrected propagator (37). Therefore, it is valid that the deformed  $z = 2$  HL gravity without Cotton tensor is well explained by the GUP.

However, it needs a further study in order to make a clear connection between  $z = 3$  HL gravity and the GUP with second-order of  $\beta$  ( $\beta^2$ ) because the former contains the Cotton tensor  $C_{ij}$  and the replacement (3) is obscure.

## Acknowledgement

This work was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Science Foundation (KRF) of Korea funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2009-0086861).

## References

- [1] P. Horava, Phys. Rev. D **79** (2009) 084008 [arXiv:0901.3775 [hep-th]].

- [2] P. Horava, JHEP **0903** (2009) 020 [arXiv:0812.4287 [hep-th]].
- [3] M. Visser, Phys. Rev. D **80** (2009) 025011 [arXiv:0902.0590 [hep-th]].
- [4] P. Hořava, arXiv:0902.3657 [hep-th].
- [5] A. Volovich and C. Wen, arXiv: 0903.2455 [hep-th].
- [6] J. Kluson, arXiv:0904.1343 [hep-th].
- [7] H. Nikolic, arXiv:0904.3412 [hep-th].
- [8] H. Nastase, arXiv:0904.3604 [hep-th].
- [9] K. I. Izawa, arXiv:0904.3593 [hep-th].
- [10] G. E. Volovik, arXiv:0904.4113 [gr-qc].
- [11] T. P. Sotiriou, M. Visser and S. Weinfurtner, arXiv:0904.4464 [hep-th].
- [12] B. Chen and Q. G. Huang, arXiv:0904.4565 [hep-th].
- [13] R. G. Cai, B. Hu and H. B. Zhang, arXiv:0905.0255 [hep-th].
- [14] T. Nishioka, arXiv:0905.0473 [hep-th].
- [15] D. Orlando and S. Reffert, arXiv:0905.0301 [hep-th].
- [16] Y. W. Kim, H. W. Lee and Y. S. Myung, arXiv:0905.3423 [hep-th].
- [17] R. A. Konoplya, arXiv:0905.1523 [hep-th].
- [18] M. Li and Y. Pang, arXiv:0905.2751 [hep-th].
- [19] C. Charmousis, G. Niz, A. Padilla and P. M. Saffin, arXiv:0905.2579 [hep-th].
- [20] T. P. Sotiriou, M. Visser and S. Weinfurtner, arXiv:0905.2798 [hep-th].
- [21] G. Calcagni, arXiv:0905.3740 [hep-th].
- [22] A. A. Kocharyan, Phys. Rev. D **80** (2009) 024026 [arXiv:0905.4204 [hep-th]].
- [23] M. Sakamoto, arXiv:0905.4326 [hep-th].
- [24] Y. S. Myung, arXiv:0906.0848 [hep-th].
- [25] C. Germani, A. Kehagias and K. Sfetsos, arXiv:0906.1201 [hep-th].

- [26] D. Blas, O. Pujolas and S. Sibiryakov, arXiv:0906.3046 [hep-th].
- [27] A. Kobakhidze, arXiv:0906.5401 [hep-th].
- [28] C. Bogdanos and E. N. Saridakis, arXiv:0907.1636 [hep-th].
- [29] E. Kiritsis and G. Kofinas, arXiv:0904.1334 [hep-th].
- [30] H. Lu, J. Mei and C. N. Pope, arXiv:0904.1595 [hep-th].
- [31] A. Kehagias and K. Sfetsos, Phys. Lett. B **678** (2009) 123 [arXiv:0905.0477 [hep-th]].
- [32] Y. S. Myung, Phys. Lett. B **678** (2009) 127 [arXiv:0905.0957 [hep-th]].
- [33] Y. S. Myung, arXiv:0908.4132 [hep-th].
- [34] L. N. Chang, D. Minic, N. Okamura and T. Takeuchi, Phys. Rev. D **65** (2002) 125028 [arXiv:hep-th/0201017].
- [35] Y. W. Kim, H. W. Lee and Y. S. Myung, Phys. Lett. B **673** (2009) 293 [arXiv:0811.2279 [gr-qc]].
- [36] D. Amati, M. Ciafaloni and G. Veneziano, Phys. Lett. B **216** (1989) 41; M. Maggiore, Phys. Lett. B **304** (1993) 65 [arXiv:hep-th/9301067]; L. J. Garay, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **10** (1995) 145 [arXiv:gr-qc/9403008].
- [37] S. Das and E. C. Vagenas, Phys. Rev. Lett. **101** (2008) 221301 [arXiv:0810.5333 [hep-th]].
- [38] A. Kempf, G. Mangano and R. B. Mann, Phys. Rev. D **52** (1995) 1108 [arXiv:hep-th/9412167].
- [39] Y. S. Myung, Phys. Lett. B **679** (2009) 491 [arXiv:0907.5256 [hep-th]].
- [40] Z. K. Silagadze, Phys. Lett. A **373** (2009) 2643 [arXiv:0901.1258 [gr-qc]].
- [41] S. Das and E. C. Vagenas, arXiv:0901.1768 [hep-th].
- [42] A. F. Ali, S. Das and E. C. Vagenas, Phys. Lett. B **678** (2009) 497 [arXiv:0906.5396 [hep-th]].